

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,145	01/25/2002	Stewart Carl Feinberg	AD6852USNA	2450
23906	23906 7590 10/09/2003		EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	4417 LANCASTER PIKE			- 5
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/057,145	FEINBERG, STEWART CARL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 c	<u>lune 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	•	•			
4)🛛	Claim(s) $1-10$ is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 7-10 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
·	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •				
Attachment	r(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Serial No. 10/057,145

Art Unit 1711

All remaining rejections and/or objections follow.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (USP 5,599,877).

See the previous Office action at the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 et seq.

Applicant's arguments filed 6-24-03 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's remarks regarding the rejection relying upon Talkowski are moot since this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

With regard to applicant's argument that the term "alloy" distinguishes over Wang et al. '877, Wang et al. blends his components and the term "alloy" as pertains to polymers merely refers to blends of polymers at least when viewing the term "alloy" in its broadest meaning. Unpatented claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the

Serial No. 10/057,145

Art Unit 1711

specification and there is nothing in the specification to indicate that by "alloy" applicant means anything more than a blend of polymers. With regard to applicant's argument that Wang et al. discloses copolymers having no acid functionality, there is nothing in the instant claims which requires that applicant's methacrylic or acrylic polymers be in actual free acid form, i.e. non-neutralized. With regard to applicant's allegations regarding the morphology of Wang's compositions, applicant's compositions are not limited to any particular morphology. With regard to applicant's argument that Wang et al. teaches compatible blends with little or no phase separation in contrast to the instant invention, the instant claims are not limited to non-compatible blends with phase separation and even applicant's specification does not appear to contain such a teaching. fact that applicant's specification may refer to more than one phase (not that limitations from the specification are read into the claims) is not in contradiction to Wang's disclosure of compositions having little or no phase separation. Wang gives no indication that the lack of phase separation pertains to microphase separation but rather it is likely that Wang is referring to macrophase separation or whether or not the Examiner is correct about this is immaterial as set out above. regard to the ionomer in Example 25, a saponified material is not

Serial No. 10/057,145

Art Unit 1711

esterified and is in salt form, i.e. carboxylate form after saponification.

Applicant's remarks regarding Swidler are moot since this rejection is hereby withdrawn.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2820. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be

Serial No. 10/057,145

Art Unit 1711

reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

J. Mullis:cdc

October 8, 2003

Jeffrey Mullis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711